

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/729,046 12/05/2003 Alexander M. Harmon 022956-0235 9312 21125 7590 06/17/2005 **EXAMINER** NUTTER MCCLENNEN & FISH LLP STEWART, ALVIN J WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 155 SEAPORT BOULEVARD BOSTON, MA 02210-2604 3738

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		R
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/729,046	HARMON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alvin J Stewart	3738
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 March 2005</u>.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>		
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-19,21 and 22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-13,20 and 23-27 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 December 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/03/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Claims 14-19, 21 and 22 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR

Page 2

1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking

claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on

March 17, 2005.

Applicant's election with traverse of Species I and Sub-species A, referring to Fig. 1A in

the reply filed on March 17, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the

election requirement is improper because the Applicants present an allowable claim generic to all

species. This is not found persuasive because the Examiner still believes that the non-elected

claims belong to different species and they are not generic claims.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10, 20 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Gregory US Patent 6,110,212.

Gregory discloses a biocompatible tissue implant comprising a biocompatible tissue slice

having a geometry, the tissue slice including an effective amount of viable cells and further being

dimensioned so that the cells can migrate out of the tissue (see Figs. 1 and 2; col. 3, lines 22-29).

Regarding claim 2, see col. 3, lines 46-52.

Regarding claims 4-7, see col. 5, lines 52-62.

Regarding claims 9, 10 and 25, the adhesive is at least one tissue layer welded by an energy source (see col. 6, lines 43-55; col. 7, lines 8-49; and col. 7, lines 59-61).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-13, 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gregory US Patent 6,110,212 in view of Brauker et al US Patent 6,773,458 B1.

Gregory discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Gregory does not disclose minced tissue fragments.

Brauker et al teaches a tissue implant comprising at least one minced tissue fragment (with a size range of about 1mm<sup>2</sup>) containing a plurality of viable cells for the purpose of increasing the biocompatibility of the implant (see col. 13, lines 51-59).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Gregory reference with the Brauker et al reference in order to increase the biocompatibility of the implant.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin J Stewart whose telephone number is 571-272-4760. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00AM-5:30PM(1 Friday B-week off).

Application/Control Number: 10/729,046

Art Unit: 3738

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A. Stewart PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 4

Art Unit 3738

June 8, 2005.